8900253

# THIE UNITHED SHATES OF AMIERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS SHALL COME;

## University of Idaho

Tahereas. THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different liety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act.

E UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

BEAN

'UI 686'

In Lestimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 31st day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and ninety-two.

Secretary of Agriculture

Attest.

Lenneth Harns

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRIC	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055					
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PRO	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).					
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2.	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	-	ARIETY NAME		
University of Idaho		83:6868	l	JI 686		
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip C	Code) 5.	PHONE (Include area code)	9/19	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
Moscow, ID 83843			8900253			
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. FAMIL	YNAME	(Botanical)		DATE		
	ıminos		FILING	TIME 19,1989		
8. KIND NAME		TE OF DETERMINATION		AMOUNT FOR FILING		
Dry Bean - Cranberry		oril 1, 1986 A TAX ASA HOG1992	RECEIVED	\$2150, - June 19,1989		
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE F partnership, association, etc.)	ORM OF	ORGANIZATION (Corporation,	FEES R	\$250.		
Land - Grant University				Dec 171992		
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			12. [	DATE OF INCORPORATION		
James R. Myers Rt. #1 3793 N 3600 E Kimberly, ID 83341  14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT St. a. \( \omega \) Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety b. \( \omega \) Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. \( \omega \) Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Request d. \( \omega \) Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. e. \( \omega \) Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Owr  15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act	form from from ership.  VARIET	om Plant Variety Protection Office  Y BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME  X Yes (If "Yes," answer	ce.)	Y AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED 6 and 17 below) No		
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	BE	17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, V BEYOND BREEDER SEE	VHICH D?	CLASSES OF PRODUCTION		
X Yes No		X Foundation	XR	egistered X Certified		
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR S				Yes (If "Yes," give date)  X No ER COUNTRIES? Yes (If "Yes," give names of countries and dates)		
				X No		
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic plenished upon request in accordance with such regular The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of the	ions as i	may be applicable.				
distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, Variety Protection Act.	and is er	ntitled to protection under the	e prov	isions of Section 42 of the Plant		
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation	herein c	an jeopardize protection and	-			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT  SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT		enator TAE		3/30/89 ATE /0-89		
FORM LS-470 Edition of 7-84 obsolete.	ne	VILLE OF THE		0,007		

#### INSTRUCTIONS

General: Send an original copy of the application and exhibits, at least 2,500 viable seeds (furnish only untreated seed), and \$1,800 fee (\$200 filling fee and \$1,600 examination fee) to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Plant Variety Protection Office, National Agricultural Library Building, Beltsville, Maryland 20705. (See Section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the form are self-explanatory unless noted below.

### Item

- Give the date the applicant determined that he had a new variety based on (1) the definition in Section 41(a) of the Act and (2) the date a decision was made to increase the seed.
- Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability.
- Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties: (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons clearly indicating novelty.
- 14c Fill in the Exhibit C, Objective Description form, for all characteristics for which you have adequate data.
- Describe any additional characteristics that are not described, or whose description cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the description of characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- Section 52(4) of the Plant Variety Protection Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. The applicant may be the actual breeder, the employer of the breeder, the owner through purchase or inheritance, etc.
- If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed) the applicant may NOT reverse his affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, his decision published, or the certificate has been issued. However, if the applicant specified "No," he may change his choice. (See Section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)
- See Sections 41 (i,j) and 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act and Section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice for eligibility requirements.
- NOTE: All information submitted in support of an application becomes PUBLIC INFORMATION once the certificate is issued. (See Section 180.17 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)



FORM LS-470 (3-86) (Reverse)

## Exhibit A Origin and Breeding History of 'UI 686'

'UI 686' is an  $F_8$  selection made by John Kolar in 1983 from a 1977 cross between 'UI 50' and 'Hi Lo Bean'. It is now in the  $F_{12}$  generation. The pedigree breeding method was used to develop this cultivar (Figure 1). The parent 'UI 50' is a cranberry released in 1974 by Marshall LeBaron. It is an upright bush with medium season maturity and medium yield. It has resistance to the common and NY strains of bean common mosaic virus (BCMV), but is susceptible to curly top virus. It has large, elongate seed. 'Hi Lo Bean' was an heirloom bean whose origin is unknown. It has large, oval seed which is white with a large, brown and black-speckled eye.

'UI 686' was in Kimberly preliminary trials in 1985, and in advanced trials at Kimberly and Parma in 1986-1988. It was also tested in yield trials in Michigan in 1986 and 1987 (Exhibit D, Table 1).

Tests for resistance to BCMV were performed at Prosser, WA under the direction of Matt Silbernagel in 1988. 'UI 686' was resistant to the NY-15 and NL-4 races of BCMV, but susceptible to the NL-3 race of BCMV. These results are consistent with 'UI 686' possessing the dominant I gene for resistance to BCMV.

Figure 1. Pedigree for the cranberry UI 686.1

Cross: UI 50 X Hi Lo Bean

Generation	Year	Comments
F <sub>1</sub>	1977	
F <sub>2</sub>	1978	Single plant selection
F <sub>3</sub>	1979	Single plant selection
↓ F <sub>4</sub>	1980	Single plant selection
↓ F <sub>5</sub>	1980	Grnhouse, Sing. plt. sel.
↓ F <sub>6</sub>	1981	Bulked
↓ F <sub>7</sub>	1982	Bulked
↓ F <sub>8</sub>	1983	Bulked
<u> </u>	1984	
↓ F <sub>9</sub>	1985	Preliminary Nursery
1	1986	Advanced Nursery
F <sub>10</sub> ↓		
F <sub>11</sub> ↓	1987	Advanced Nursery
F <sub>12</sub>	1988	Advanced Nursery

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Crosses made in the greenhouse and all other generations grown in the field unless otherwise noted.

Seed increase made in 1987 from bulked seed maintained in the 1986 early generation nursery.

3

8900253

PVP Application No. 8900253 Cranberry Bean 'UI 686'

### Addendum to Exhibit A

1) Type and frequency of variants:

No variants or off-types have been observed during the propagation of UI 686.

2) Evidence of uniformity and stability:

This cultivar was in the F<sub>16</sub> generation in 1992. From the F<sub>6</sub> generation onwards, UI 686 has been uniform, and has performed in a stable manner. UI 686 has been under my personal observation during the last 5 generations with no significant variation. Since 1989, the cultivar has been included in our foundation seed program, where it undergoes several field and laboratory inspections for certified seed production by Idaho Crop Improvement Association each year. UI 686 has been found to be stable and uniform in these inspections.

8900253

#### Exhibit B

### Statement of Novelty

'UI 686' can be distinguished from other cranberry cultivars by seed characteristics, growth habit, and yield (Exhibit D, Table 1). The seed size is the largest of any cranberry with the exception of 'Sacramento Valley Milling Cranberry' when compared in Idaho environments. The seed is also a distinctive oval shape whereas other cranberries have more elongate seed. 'UI 686' has an upright, indeterminant vine growth habit whereas nearly all other cranberries have determinant bush growth habits. 'Michigan Improved Cranberry' has an indeterminant growth habit, but is more susceptible to lodging than UI 686. 'Michigan Improved Cranberry' also has smaller leaves than those of UI 686. In Idaho, 'UI 686' has consistently yielded more than the bush cranberry cultivars, but less than 'Michigan Improved Cranberry'.

## OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY Dry Edible Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)

	Dry Edible Bean (P	haseolus vulgaris L.)					
AME OF APPLICANT(S) University of Idaho		83:6868	VARIETY NAME UI 686				
DDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, ZI	IP)	1 00:000	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY				
Moscow, ID 83843	PVPO NO.						
MOSCOW, 1D 03043			8900253				
rovide data for all characters unless indicated as escribe this variety. Measured data should be th ociety or any recognized color standard may be	e mean of an approp	riate number of well spaced (	15-20 cm) plants. The Royal Horticulture				
R. H. S. colour chart		of the test(s) to evaluat	E THIS VARIETY				
MARKET CLASS	2. MATURITY						
0 8 CLASS CHECK 1 = Navy (Pea) Seafarer 2 = Small White Aurora		2 1 = Early (80-90 days); 2 =	Medium (90-100 days); 3 = Late (>100 days)				
3 = Black Midnight 4 = Pinto UI-114	0 9 8	Days from planting to harves	t maturity				
5 = Great Northern UI-59 6 = Small Red NW-59 7 = Pink Viva		Heat units from planting to he temperature used:	narvest maturity (optional). Specify base				
8 = Cranberry UI-50 9 = Dark Red Kidney Montcalm 10 = Light Red Kidney Redkloud 11 = Yellow Eye Steuben 12 = Other (specify)	0 9 8	Days from planting to harvest maturity of check variety (use check appropriate to market class shown in item 1)					
PLANT HABIT							
1 = Ia Bush-determinate, strong and erect stem 2 = Ib Bush-determinate, weak stem and branc 3 = Ila Erect growth habit-indeterminate, guide short or not developed 4 = Ilb Erect growth habit-indeterminate, guide long, with no ability to climb 5 = Illa Vine-indeterminate, short guides with 6 = IIIb Vine-indeterminate, long guides with 7 = IVa Indeterminate climbing, pods distribut the plant 8 = IVb Indeterminate climbing, pods concentrations are strongly part of the plant	thes es (runners)  es medium to no ability to climb ability to climb and throughout	O 4 9 Average height o  3 Pod Position: 1 2 3 Adaptability to r	f mature plant, in cm.  f check variety, in cm. (use same check as above)  = Low (lower pods touching soil surface)  = High (lower pods not touching soil surface)  = Scattered (not concentrated high or low)  nachine harvest: 1 = Adapted 2 = Not Adapted  ee: 1 = Good 2 = Fair 3 = Poor				
LEAFLET MORPHOLOGY (Use terminal leaflet of a	fully expanded trifolio	late)					
2 1 = Smooth; 2 = Wrinkled 1	1 = Dull; 2 = Glossy;	3 = Semiglossy; 4 = Variable					
1 = Ovate 2	= Lanceolate	3 = Deltoid	4 = Cordate 5 = Rhomboid				
2 APEX OF LEAFLET: 2	2 = Acuminate	3 = Cuspidate	4 = Obtuse				
1 = Obtuse  4 BASE OF LEAFLET:	2 = Oblique	3 = Cordate	4 = Cuneate 5 = Attenuate				

		8900253	7
9. ANTHOCYANIN PIGMENTATION			
1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Stems 2 Pods	2 Seeds	
1 Leaves	1 Petioles 1 Peduno	oles 1 Nodes	
O. KNOWN DISEASE REACTION			
DISEASES - COMMON NAME: Anthra wilt, Sclerotinia white mold, Angular lea mottle virus, Bean common mosaic virus Other (specify)	Bean vellow mosaic virus Curly	usarium root rot, Pythium root rot, Rhizoctonia t, Fuscous blight, Common bacterial blight, Red y top virus, Bacterial brown spot, Bean southern	root rot, Pythiu node virus, Pod mosaic virus,
REACTION: 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistan	t; 3 = Tolerant; 4 = Avoidance		
(Give the common name (	CN), scientific name (SN), and race(s)	), where applicable)	
		NY-15, NL-4 ; Race(s)	
1 DISEASE: CN Bean Common Mo	osaic V. ; sn -	; Race(s) <u>NL-3</u>	
1 DISEASE: CN Curly Top Vire	; sn <u>-</u>	; Race(s)	
4 DISEASE: CN White Mold	; sn Sclerotini	a sclerotiorum Race(s) -	
DISEASE: CN	; sn	; Race(s);	
DISEASE: CN	; sn	; Race(s);	
wexican bean beetle, Root knot nemat	ean pod weevil, Bruchid beetle, C	Corn earworm, Flea beetle, Leaf hopper, Lesion r lites, Thrips, Weevils, Western bean cutworm, Oti	nematode, Lygus her (specify)
(Give the common name (CN), s	cientific name (SN), and biotype, whe	ere applicable)	
PEST: CN			
PEST: CN	; sn	; Biotype	
PEST: CN	; sn	; Biotype	
2. KNOWN PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESS REACT	ION		
1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant; 3 = Tolerant; 4 = Avoidance		t Air Pollution	
Nutrient toxicity or deficiency (specify nutries	nt)		
Other			

13. COMMENTS

EXHIBIT D

## Additional Description of 'UI 686'

Table 1. Days to flowering and maturity, seed size, yield, growth habit, and lodging of the cranberry 'UI 686'.

Location N	lursery	2 Year	Entry		ays to	3		Harvest Maturity			Growth Habit	Lodging
Parma M	IISC	1988	UI 686		51 a		88 ab	97	41.8 ab	1992 ab	IIB	4.4 a
			UI 50		44 c		87 bc	97	40.5 b	1776 ab	I	2.8 b
			Cardinal		44 c		85 c	96	42.5 ab	1606 b	I	3.1 b
			SVM Cran		44 c		83 d	95	46.8 a	1077 c	I	1.5 c
			Mich Imp	С	48 b		90 a	99	39.5 b	2214 a	IIB	4.5 a
Kimberly M	IISC	1988	UI 686		56 -		90 -	98	49.5 a	1681 a	IIB	3.3 -
			UI 50		45 -		89 -	97	43.6 a	1576 a	I	2.0 -
			Cardinal		46 -		89 -	97	43.8 a	1683 a	I	3.0 -
			SVM Cran		62 -		88 -	96	46.0 a	1056 b	I	1.5 -
			Mich Imp	С	54 -		93 -	101	43.2 a	1895 a	IIIB	3.8 -
Parma C	CDBN	1987	UI 686				100 ab	108	56.4 a	2580 a	IIB	
			Cardinal				95 b	103	49.6 a	1999 a	I	-
			Mich Imp	С			103 a	111	49.1 a	2906 a	IIIB	
(imberly C	CDBN	1987	UI 686		53 a		101 a	109	64.9 a	2358 a	IIB	3.0 b
			Cardinal		42 b		95 a	103	55.7 a	1960 a	1	2.0 0
			Mich Imp	С	52 a		98 a	106	56.3 a	2404 a	IIIB	3.5 a
Kimberly M	MISC	1986	UI 686				86 -	94	45.9 -	2877 -	IIB	
			UI 50		1		87 -	95	46.3 -	2349 -	I	
			Cardinal				85 -	93	47.8 -	2685 -	I	4
			SVM Cran				82 -	90	53.4 -	1481 -	I	
			Mich Imp	С			86 -	94	43.7 -	2787 -	IIB	
Cimberly M	MISC	1985	UI 686				95 -	103	52.0 -	3380 -	IIB	7.5
			UI 50				95 -	103	49.9 -	2789 -	I	-
			Mich Imp	С	1		96 -	104	45.2 -	2968 -	IIIB	
Montcalm C												
II		1987	UI 686				100 -			2529 b	-	-
			Mich Imp		-		128 -			(frost)		
			Taylor Cr	a			92 -			2202 a		1
Montcalm C												
IM		1986	UI 686				96 -	-		1192 c	-	-
			UI 50				94 -	1774		2597 b	-	-
			Cardinal		-		93 -	12.	The state of	3097 a	-	E Land
			SVM Cran				87 -		APR TOR	3448 a		
			Taylor Cr	a			87 -		100	3444 a	3	

Location Nursery Year	Entry	Days to Bloom	Physiol. Maturity					odging
Overall Average	UI 686	53	93	102	51.7	2478	IIB	3.6
(excluding NY & MI)	Mich Imp C	51	94	103	46.2	2529	IIB-IIIB	3.9
Average, 1986-88	UI 686	53	93	101	51.7	2298	IIB	3.6
(excluding NY & MI)	Cardinal	44	90	98	47.9	1987	I	2.7
	Mich Imp C	51	94	102	46.3	2441	IIB-IIIB	3.9
Average (excluding	UI 686	54	90	98	47.3	2483	IIB	3.9
1987, NY, & MI)	UI 50	45	90	98	45.1	2123	I	2.4
	Mich Imp C	51	91	100	42.9	2466	IIB-IIIB	4.2

Data from Michigan provided by Greg Varner, Michigan Dry Edible Bean Production Research Advisory Board.

Abbreviations: CDBN, Cooperative Dry Bean Nursery; MISC, Miscellaneous Nursery; SVM Cran, Sacramento Valley Milling Cranberry; Mich Imp, Michigan Improved Cranberry; Taylor Cr, Taylor Cranberry (also Taylor Hort.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>With the exception of the 1985 and 1988 Kimberly Miscellaneous nurseries, plots consisted of four rows replicated three or four times. The 1985 and 1988 Kimberly Miscellaneous nurseries were two row plots replicated two and three times, respectively.

Numbers followed by different letters are statistically significant at P=0.05 level using LSD or DNMR tests. Comparisons are only valid within nursery/location/years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Readings taken at physiological maturity maturity (80-90% buckskin pods) and converted to harvest maturity by adding eight days (the average dry-down period at the Kimberly and Parma locations).

Exhibit D.

Additional Description of Variety



Plate 1. Photograph of seed of UI 686 (left) and UI 50 (right) showing differences in size and shape. Color reproduction may not be accurate so may only be used to judge relative differences.

#### Exhibit E

### Statement of Ownership

The cultivar UI 686 was developed through the process of sexual hybridization and subsequent selection as part of an ongoing breeding program at the University of Idaho. Sole and exclusive rights to 'UI 686' are the rights of the University of Idaho. This cultivar will be handled by the Director of the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station as a public variety. Seed of this variety may not be sold as an uncertified class as stated in Title V of the Federal Seed Act. No exclusive and binding contracts may be entered into with any commercial organization.